



Welcome to the East Asian Bureau of Economic Research (EABER). EABER aims to be the premier East Asian economics research forum and provide a single point of reference for the economics, finance and governance research community throughout the region and beyond. Through building research capacity and partnering initiatives, EABER facilitates the creation of high-level analysis and forward thinking on issues of importance to the East Asian economy.

Analysis

The Asian Bond Fund Initiatives and Reform through Learning by Doing

Guonan Ma *

In June 2003, the Executives' Meeting of East Asia and Pacific (EMEAP) central banks forum launched the Asian Bond Fund 1 (ABF1) initiative. The eleven EMEAP members are Australia, China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand. ABF1 pooled \$1 billion in international reserves from the 11 EMEAP member central banks, investing in US dollar denominated bonds issued by sovereign and quasi-sovereign borrowers in eight of the EMEAP economies. By design, ABF1 was set up to be restricted to EMEAP central bank investment only and thus was not open to other investors. Nonetheless, it was the first regional pooling of international reserves in Asia.

In June 2005, the EMEAP central banks launched the second fund, the Asian Bond Fund 2 (ABF2), which has invested \$2 billion of EMEAP central bank reserves in local currency denominated sovereign and quasi-sovereign bonds in the same eight EMEAP member markets. After the investment of the \$2 billion seed money, the ABF2 funds are to be listed in the markets individually and become open to investors from both within and outside Asia.

Since the Asian financial crisis in 1997 there have been a number of efforts, both regional and domestic, aimed at promoting bond market

development in the East Asian region. There is a broad consensus among regional policymakers that a well functioning local-currency bond market can help intermediate between domestic savers and borrowers in the region and mitigate currency mismatch. However, the ABF1 and ABF2 initiatives have been unique on a number of grounds. Firstly, they have involved the creation of actual bond funds, with real financial contributions from each of the parties concerned to invest in the Asian hard- and local currency bond markets. Secondly, the bond funds have been created by actual policymakers and regulators of the EMEAP forum throughout the region.

One key advantage of this approach has been that it has allowed policymakers and regulators to appreciate more market impediments in their actual operations of establishing bond funds, creating an important element of 'learning by doing'. Another advantage of the ABF2 project is to facilitate public-private sector cooperation, as the ABF2 is initially seeded by central banks, administered by the Bank for International Settlements, managed by private-sector fund managers and eventually open to all investors, public and private alike.

In principle, private investors could have also lobbied for reforms. However, market reform is a public good in the sense that the benefits are enjoyed by many investors. Individually, investors would be unwilling to bear the costs of lobbying fully for such reforms, because they would not be able to keep the benefits to themselves.

In actually setting up ABF2, the EMEAP central banks encountered a myriad of market

Paper of the Month

The paper of the month for November was **Regional vs. Global Risk Sharing in East Asia** by Soyoung Kim, Sunghyun H. Kim, and Yunjong Wang.

Latest News

The 32nd PAFTAD Conference, The Impact of International Arrangements and Organizations on Development in Asia and the Pacific, will be held on 17-19 December, 2007 in Hanoi, Vietnam.

EABER supports the annual All China Economics International Conference (ACE International Conference), held on December 12-14, 2007, in the City University of Hong Kong.

EABER Members

China Center for Economic Research, China

The Central Institute for Economic Management, Vietnam

The Center for Strategic and International Studies, Indonesia

City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Economic Institute of Cambodia, Cambodia

The Energy Research Institute, China

The Fiscal Policy Research Institute - Ministry of Finance, Thailand

The Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies, China

The Institute of Energy Economics, Japan

Institute of World Economics and Politics, China

Japan Center for Economic Research, Japan

impediments such as capital controls, withholding tax, regulatory obstacles and weak infrastructure. Even when policymakers and regulators had been aware of the impediments before the exercise, they found some of them to be more significant than initially thought. By undertaking the initiative as a group, the EMEAP central banks improved their understanding of specific impediments in their local bond markets and came up with ways to overcome them. Seeing that the authorities in neighbouring jurisdictions had already instituted certain market reforms encouraged the relevant authorities to 'fast-track' their own initiatives. It has been this collaborative process which has made the ABF initiatives an effective mechanism for regulatory and market reform across the region. The ABF projects have also facilitated different regulatory authorities in the same jurisdiction to cooperate better in market development.

Recognizing that their job is far from complete, the participating central banks also agreed on an incentive mechanism for further reducing market impediments. In particular, the scheme for allocating the portfolio to the various local bond markets will regularly take market impediments and subsequent liberalisation into account. For example, the portfolio weight in ABF2 for an individual market may rise as cross-border and local market impediments in that market are reduced.

For such relatively small sums of EMEAP central bank investment, the ABF2 initiative has been quite effective in promoting the reform of local currency bond markets. This is a testament to

** Guonan Ma is Senior Economist at the Office of the Bank for International Settlements in Hong Kong. The note is based on Guonan Ma and Eli Remonola, 'Learning by doing in market reform: lessons from a regional bond fund', HWWI Research Papers, 2-6, 2006.*

the importance of the learning by doing approach of the initiatives and the lessons that this has generated for policymakers across the region.

The most apparent area for reform has been in capital controls. Malaysia, for example, has announced measures to liberalize its foreign exchange market, so that it has now essentially restored the regime that was in place before it imposed capital controls in the Asian financial crisis. Korea has also recently announced a series of measures to further liberalize foreign exchange regulations. In China, ABF2 is the first ever foreign fund entering the local inter-bank market, and the investment quota on portfolio inflows by qualified foreign institutional investors has been raised from the initial \$2 billion in 2003 to \$7 billion as of May 2006.

Withholding taxes and other taxes are another important area of reform. So far, five of the eight EMEAP member markets have either implemented or offered exemption from the withholding tax to non-residents investing in local currency sovereign or quasi-sovereign issues.

The ABF2 initiative is a regional cooperative effort aimed at fostering local currency bond markets in Asia. It involves actually setting up bond funds and thus contains an important element of 'learning by doing'. To date, this process has helped ease a variety of market impediments, both cross-border and local, and encouraged regional regulators to provide incentives to further reduce market impediments. So far, most of the ABF2 funds have been listed or become open-end funds, with the total fund size of the ABF2 increasing by about 50 per cent to \$3bn. It has probably also inspired a forthcoming World Bank global emerging market fund.

EABER Members

The Japan Policy Research Institute, Japan

The Korea Energy Economics Institute, South Korea

The Korea Institute for International Economic Policy, South Korea

The Malaysian Institute of Economic Research, Malaysia

The New Zealand Institute of Economic Research, New Zealand

The Philippine Institute for International Studies, Philippines

The Renmin University School of Finance, China

Singapore Center for Applied and Policy Economics, Singapore

The SMERU Research Institute, Indonesia

EABER Supporters



Ministry of Finance,
Japan



Australian Government
AusAID



CRAWFORD SCHOOL

EABER would also like to thank the following for their support:



Bulletin of
Indonesian
Economic Studies



DPU
Dhurakij Pundit University
Nurturing Academic Excellence with Business Knowledge